## COMPLIANCE WITH SUPREME COURT DIRECTIVES ON POLICE REFORMS

## **MANIPUR**

This note presents the status of compliance by Manipur with the Supreme Court directives on police reforms delivered in *Prakash Singh & Ors versus Union of India & Ors*, 2006<sup>1</sup>. Compliance is assessed against key parameters of the directives that are applicable at the state-level (refer to the index enclosed) and is based on government orders issued by the state government. It is limited to compliance on paper and does not address implementation on the ground.

## THE SEVEN DIRECTIVES IN A NUTSHELL

**Directive One:** Constitute a State Security Commission (SSC) to: i. Ensure that the state government does not exercise unwarranted influence or pressure on the police; ii. Lay down broad policy guideline and iii. Evaluate the performance of the state police.

**Directive Two:** Ensure that the Director General of Police is appointed through merit based transparent process and secure a minimum tenure of two years

**Directive Three:** Ensure that other police officers on operational duties (including Superintendents of Police in-charge of a district and Station House Officers in-charge of a police station) are also provided a minimum tenure of two years.

Directive Four: Separate the investigation and law and order functions of the police.

**Directive Five:** Set up a Police Establishment Board (PEB) to decide transfers, postings, promotions and other service related matters of police officers of and below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police and make recommendations on postings and transfers above the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police

**Directive Six:** Set up a Police Complaints Authority (PCA) at state level to inquire into public complaints against police officers of and above the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police in cases of serious misconduct, including custodial death, grievous hurt, or rape in police custody and at district levels to inquire into public complaints against the police personnel below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police in cases of serious misconduct

**Directive Seven:** Set up a National Security Commission (NSC) at the union level to prepare a panel for selection and placement of Chiefs of the Central Police Organisations (CPO) with a minimum tenure of two years

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ordered in the Court's judgment in Prakash Singh and Others vs. Union of India, 2006 (8) SCC1.

MANIPUR								
Directives & Parameters	Compliance	Overall Compliance						
Directive 1: State Security Commission								
State Security Commission established	Yes							
Leader of Opposition included	Yes							
Number of Independent Members	5							
Independent selection	No	Non-Compliant						
Recommendations made binding	No							
Prepare annual report and submit to assembly	No							
Directive 2: Selection and Tenure of Director General of Police								
Specifies shortlisting by the UPSC  Yes  Yes								
Provides minimum tenure of 2 years irrespective of superannuation	No							
Specifies grounds for premature removal that are clear and limited to the four conditions laid down by the Court	Yes	Non-Compliant						
Directive 3: Se	election and Tenure of Othe	er Officers						
Provides minimum tenure of 2 years	Yes							
Specifies grounds for premature removal that are clear and limited to the four conditions laid down by the Court	Yes	Compliant						
Directive	e 5: Police Establishment B	oard						
Composition is restricted to DGP and 4 senior officers	Yes							
Power to decide transfer & posting for DySP and below	Yes	Partial						
Recommend transfer and posting for SP and above	Yes							
Authorized to function as an appeal forum	No							
Authorized to review the functioning of the police	No							
DIRECTIVE (	6a: State Police Complaints	Authority						
Headed by Retired Judge	Yes							
No of Members	No Information found							
Panel for Selection	No	Non-Compliant						
Recommendations are Binding	No							
Provides for Independent Investigators	No							
DIRECTIVE 6b: District Police Complaints Authority								
Headed by Retired Judge	Yes							
No of Members								
Panel for Selection	Yes	Non-Compliant						
Recommendations are Binding	No							
Provides for Independent Investigators	No							

## Index: Compliance with Supreme Court directives on Police Reforms (as on September 2020)

S.			Overall Compliance				
No.	Directives and Parameters	Status	Compliance	Partial	Non-		
	Directives and Farameters			Complianc	Compliance		
				е			
Directive 1: State Security Commission							
1	Establishment of the SSC	Y/N					
2	Includes Leader of the Opposition	Y/N Y/N	All 5	Indicators	Indicators		
3	Provides an independent panel for selection of		indicators	2, 3, 4	2, or 4		
	members				missing		
4	Recommendations are made binding	Y/N					
5	Requires submission of an Annual Report	Y/N					
Directive 2: Selection and Tenure of Director General of Police							
1	Specifies shortlisting by the UPSC	Y/N	A.II. 2	la disaka a	l		
2	Provides minimum tenure of 2 years irrespective of superannuation	Y/N	All 3 indicators	Indicators 1 and 2	Indicators 1 or 2 are		
3	Specifies grounds for premature removal that are clear	Y/N	provided	provided	non-		
	and limited to the four conditions laid down by the				compliant		
	Court						
Direc	tive 3: Selection and Tenure of Other Officers				<u> </u>		
1	Provides minimum tenure of two years	Y/N	Both		Either of		
2	Specifies grounds for premature removal	Y/N	indicators	NA	the		
			are		indicators is		
			compliant		non-		
Compliant Compliant							
Directive 4: Separation of Investigation and Law and Order  1 Provides for complete separation of investigation from							
1	, ,	V/N	Not Assessed				
	, ,		NOT Assessed				
Direc	between the two wings  Directive 5: Police Establishment Board						
1	Composition is restricted to DGP and 4 senior officers	Y/N	All 5	Indicators	Indicators		
2	Power to decide transfer + posting for DySP and below	Y/N	indicators	1, 2 and 3	1, 2, or 3		
	Tower to decide transfer 1 posting for Dysi and below	1714	are	are	are non-		
3	Recommend transfer and posting for SP and above	Y/N	compliant	compliant	compliant		
4	Authorized to function as an appeal forum	Y/N	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<b>,</b>		
5	Authorized to review the functioning of the police	Y/N					
Directive 6: Police Complaints Authority							
6a: St	ate Police Complaints Authority						
1	Headed by retired judge	Y/N	All 4	Indicators	Indicators		
2	Provides independent panel for selection of members	Y/N	indicators	1, 2, and 3	1, 2, or 3 is		
3	Recommendations are made binding	Y/N	are	are	non-		
4	4 Provides for a pool of independent investigators		compliant	compliant	compliant		
4 Provides for a pool of independent investigators Y/N compliant compliant compliant 6b: District Police Complaints Authority							
1	Headed by retired judge	Y/N	All 4	Indicators	Indicators		
2	Provides independent panel for selection of members	Y/N	indicators	1, 2, and 3	1, 2, or 3 is		
3	Recommendations are made binding	Y/N	are	are	non-		
4	Provides for a pool of independent investigators	Y/N	compliant	compliant	compliant		