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Seven stages: From Apprehension to Release

Stage 1
Apprehension

Stage 2
First Production

Stage 3
Preparation of Social Investigation Report (SIR) by the Probation Officer

Stage 4
Inquiry by the JJB

Stage 5
Orders by the JJB

Stage 6
Appeal

Stage 7
Release of the child
The stages of the processes for children in conflict with law can be broadly categorised on the basis of type of offence allegedly committed by a child as mandated by the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection) Act, 2015:

a) petty offence  
b) serious offence  
c) heinous offence

**Petty offences** are offences for which the maximum punishment is imprisonment up to three years.

**Serious offences** are offences for which the punishment is imprisonment between three to seven years. An offence for which maximum sentence is more than seven years but minimum sentence is not provided or minimum sentence is less than seven years shall be treated as serious offences too.

**Heinous offences** are offences for which the punishment is seven years or more. An offence with a maximum sentence of more than seven years but where a minimum sentence is not provided or minimum sentence provided is less than seven years shall not be considered to be a heinous offence.

**Note:** For purposes of this publication, the Act or JJ Act means the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015. And the Rules or Model Rules means the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Model Rules, 2016.
A child shall only be apprehended by the police if a heinous offence is allegedly committed by the child or it is in best interest of the child. [Rule 8 (1) of Model Rules, 2016]

In all other cases involving petty and serious offences, apprehending the child is not necessary in best interest of child. The police or Special Juvenile Police Unit (SJPU) or Child Welfare Police Officer (CWPO) shall forward the information regarding the nature of offence along with Social Background Report (SBR) of the child to the Juvenile Justice Board (JJB) and inform the parent/guardian about when the child is to be produced before the JJB. [Rule 8 (1) of JJ Rules]

Upon apprehension by police, any child who has allegedly committed an offence shall be released on bail by the police (Sec 12 (1) of JJ Act, 2015) with or without surety except when it is in the best interest of child to keep him/her in detention. Such child shall be placed under the charge of the Special Juvenile Police Unit (SJPU) or designated Child Welfare Police Officer (CWPO). [Section 10 of JJ Act, 2015]

FIR: No FIR is to be registered by the police for cases of petty offences. For serious offences, the Special Juvenile Police Unit or Child Welfare Police Officer shall record it in the general diary and no FIR is to be registered. Only in heinous offences can the police register FIRs.
STAGE 2
FIRST PRODUCTION

Without any loss of time but within 24 hrs i.e. immediately after apprehension of the child, the person under whose charge the child is placed, must produce the child before the JJB [u/s 10 of JJ Act, 2015].

The apprehending authority may submit the Daily Diary (DD) entry or FIR (only in case of heinous offences) along with Social Background report explaining the reason for apprehending the child [Rule 9 (1) of Model Rules, 2016].

In case the JJB is not sitting, the child alleged to be in conflict with law shall be produced before a single member of the JJB u/s 7 (2) of the JJ act, 2015.
A “fit person” is any person, prepared to own the responsibility of a child, for the specific purpose, and such person is identified after inquiry made in this behalf and recognised as fit for the said purpose, by the CWC or, as the case may be, the JJB, to receive and take care of the child.

A child alleged to be in conflict with law shall not be placed in police lock-up or lodged in Jail under any circumstances [u/s 10 of JJ Act, 2015].
STAGE 3
PREPARATION OF THE SOCIAL INVESTIGATION REPORT (SIR) BY THE PROBATION OFFICER

The SJPU or CWPO under whose charge the child is brought should immediately inform the probation officer who must prepare and submit the social investigation report within two weeks to the JJB. The social investigation report should contain information regarding antecedents and family background of the child and other material circumstances that may assist the JJB in the inquiry.
STAGE 4

INQUIRY BY THE JJB

On production of a child before the JJB for allegedly committing an offence, the JJB will hold an inquiry in accordance with the JJ Act.

The inquiry of the JJB should be completed in four months from the date of first production, extending only by maximum of two months with reasons recorded in writing [u/s 13 of the JJ Act, 2015]. The proceedings stand terminated if the inquiry for petty offences remains inconclusive after extended period [u/s 14 (4) of the JJ Act, 2015].

In cases of petty or serious offences, the case is disposed of by the JJB through summary proceedings - procedure for this is as prescribed under the Code of Criminal Procedure (CrPC), 1973.

In cases of serious offences, the JJB conducts an inquiry following the procedure of trials in summons cases (as laid down in CrPC, 1973).

In case of heinous offences, a preliminary assessment is conducted by the JJB, which must be completed within a period of 3 months [u/s 14(4) of the JJ Act, 2015].

The heinous offences are further categorised by age of child - below 16 years and above 18 years. For children below 16 years, a trial similar to serious offences is followed by the JJB.

For children above 16 years, based on preliminary assessment, the JJB decides whether to conduct a trial by the JJB itself or if the case should be transferred to the Children’s Court for a child to be tried as an adult. The board may or may not transfer the case to the children’s court.

An appeal against the decision of the JJB to transfer a case to the Children’s Court to be tried as an adult can be filed before the Court of Sessions.
A children’s court is defined as a special court designated under Protection of Child Rights Act (PCRA), 2005 or under the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences (POCSO) Act, 2012. Wherever such courts are not designated, court of sessions having jurisdiction will try offences under the Act.

Once the child attains the age of 21 years and is yet to complete the term of stay, the court can:

- release the child after making an evaluation. This evaluation is to be done by the court after interacting with the child to see whether the child has undergone reformative changes. The court shall also take into account the periodic reports shared by the Probation Officer, Child Welfare Officer or Social Worker or Case Worker.

- decide that the child may complete the remainder of his term in a prison.

Rehabilitation: The dispositional order passed by the Children’s Court must include an individual care plan for the child prepared by Probation Officer or Child Welfare Officer or recognised voluntary organisation, on the basis of interaction with the child and his/her family.

As per Section 21 of the JJ Act, 2015, no child shall be sentenced to death or life imprisonment without the possibility of release.

Note: If an appeal has been filed in Children’s Court against an order of JJB to try the case as an adult then Children’s Court shall first decide the appeal.
STAGE 5
ORDERS BY THE JJB

a) Did not commit an offence
The JJB may find that the child has not committed an offence and thus pass an order to that effect. The JJB can then order release of the child if he/she is in the state’s custody or confined in an observation home. All bail bonds/sureties also stand discharged thereafter.

If the JJB finds that the child is in need of care and protection it may refer him/her to the Child Welfare Committee (CWC).

b) Committed an offence
If upon inquiry (called trial by the JJB in some states) a petty offence, serious offence or heinous crimes by a child below 16 yrs, is found to have been committed, then the following orders can be given:

- The child may be allowed to go home after advice and warning and with counselling to the child and his/her parents,
- The child may be asked to participate in group counselling or similar activities,
- The child may be awarded community service under supervision of an organisation or institution or a specified person,
- The child or parent/guardian could be directed to pay fine,
- The child may be released on probation of good conduct and be placed under care of a parent/guardian with a bond, with or without surety, for any period not exceeding 3 years,
- The child may be released on probation of good conduct and placed with a fit facility for care and supervision for any period not exceeding 3 years,
- The child may be sent to a special home for any period not exceeding 3 years. If due to a child’s behaviour it is not in his/her best interest or any other child at the special home then the child could be sent to a place of safety.

In cases where a heinous offence has been allegedly committed by a child aged above 16 years, and where after the preliminary assessment the JJB decides to transfer such case to a Children’s Court, further proceedings takes place at the Children’s Court.
A **Special Home** is any institution established by a state government or by a voluntary or registered (u/s 48 of JJ Act, 2015) non-governmental organisation (NGO), for housing and providing rehabilitative services to children who are found to be in conflict with law. The children are sent to such institution through an order by the JJB.

A **Place of Safety** is any place or institution, which is not a police lockup or jail, and attached to an observation home or a special home. The in-charge of such place should be willing to receive children alleged or found to be in conflict with law. The child can only be sent to such place by an order of the JJB or Children’s Court, both during the inquiry and ongoing rehabilitation after having been found guilty.

An **Observation Home** is a home established and maintained in every district or group of districts by a state government itself or through a voluntary or registered NGO. The observation homes are for temporary reception, care and rehabilitation of any child alleged to be in conflict with law, during pendency of any inquiry under JJ Act, 2015.
STAGE 6
APPEAL

Any party aggrieved by the order of the Child Welfare Committee (CWC) or Juvenile Justice Board (JJB), can file an appeal within 30 days to the relevant children’s court.

An appeal against the decision of the JJB to transfer a case to the Children’s Court to be tried as an adult can be filed before the Court of Sessions.

A person aggrieved by an order of Children’s Court may file an appeal before the High Court as per the procedure laid down in CrPC, 1973.
STAGE 7
RELEASE OF THE CHILD

Upon expiry of the period of stay ordered by the JJB or Children’s Court, the person in-charge of Child Care Institution (CCI) must release the child who is in custody in compliance of the following processes:-

1) Release in case of Indian nationals

- the child must be released to the parent/s or guardian,
- the information about release of child must be timely shared with parent/s or guardian including exact date,
- the parent/s or guardian must be called to the CCI on the said date to take custody of the child,
- if the parent/s or guardian fails to come to CCI on the said date then the child must be escorted to the parent or guardian,
- the child may be placed in aftercare programme, when the child attains the age of eighteen years on leaving a child care institution, subject to consent of child and approval of JJB or CWC or Children’s Court to facilitate child’s re-integration into mainstream society [Section 46, JJ Act, 2015],
- in case the release falls on a holiday or a Sunday, the child may be discharged on the preceding day.

Restoration:
While passing an order to release, the JJB and Children’s court must ensure the following:

- The child should be ordered to release to parents or guardians after the JJB or Children’s court have satisfied itself about the identity of the person claiming the custody as a parent or guardian of the child.
- The release must be ordered after taking into account the reports of Probation Officer, Social Worker or Child Welfare Officer or Case Worker or NGO.
- The restoration order must include an individual care plan prepared by the Probation Officer or Social Worker or Child Welfare Officer or Case Worker or NGO.
2) Release in case of foreign nationals

The custody of the child is decided by the JJB or CWC or Children’s Court based on the principle of best interest of the child.

When a child is to be repatriated to another country, the CWC shall direct the District Child Protection Unit (DCPU) to take necessary steps including approaching the Foreigners Regional Registration Office (FRRO) and Ministry of External Affairs (MEA) for a no-objection certificate, contacting the counterpart committee or any voluntary organisation in the country where the child is to be sent. [Rule 19 (16) of the Model Rules, 2016]

Release to parent or guardian of child staying in India with valid documents: the child may be released to parent or guardian after verifying their identity as the parent or guardian of the child.

If the parent or guardian of the child do not have valid documents: the concerned CCI through the state home department and Ministry of External Affairs (MEA) shall get in touch with the embassy/consulate of the country to which the child belongs to initiate the repatriation process. The child in such cases is handed over to the custody of a designated person of authority at the respective consulate/embassy.

Refugees and asylum seekers should be an exception to this process. Keeping in mind the principle of best interest, children of refugees and asylum seekers should be handed over to the parents, extended family or guardian if they are in India as asylum seekers or refugees. [Sec 3(iv) and 3(xiii) JJ Act] This is in line with state obligations as per Article 22 of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) which details the protections to be extended to a refugee child. Among other protections, it emphasises that family reunification is a priority obligation of governments serving the best interests of children, having particular regard for unaccompanied and separated children.

In case the parent/s or guardian of the child are not in India: a detailed procedure is laid down in the next page.
REPATRIATION PROCESS OF FOREIGN NATIONAL CHILDREN

JJB/CWC orders for the repatriation of the child.

[A copy of the order is marked to Child Care Institutions, Ministry of Home Affairs (MHA) and Ministry of External Affairs (MEA)].

On receipt of the order, the Superintendent of the Home (where the child is confined) writes to the State Home Department, requesting nationality verification and shares details of next of kin.

The State Home Department collates all the details on the child’s case and next of kin; and writes to the concerned division at MEA, India for nationality verification.

The Embassy/ High Commission will verify the child’s nationality with the support of their ministry and local police OR may also seek support from NGOs to assist in the verification process.

MEA, India, to communicate all the information to the concerned Embassy/ High Commission and request travel documents.
The State Home Department will issue an order to the Police Department, for escorting the child to the appropriate handover point.

Once nationality verification is done, the Embassy/ High Commission will issue appropriate travel permits for the child and send it to the concerned division at MEA in India.

MEA, India will send the travel documents to the State Home Department.

The State Home Department on receipt of travel documents issues a No Objection Certificate.

[The State Home Department will resent the certificate marked to the CCI, MEA, MHA and Embassy/ High Commission].

The child is then escorted to the airport/ integrated check post and repatriated home

[In most cases, the child is handed over to next of kin/ NGO/ state authority at the border/ airport].

State Home Department, Department of Women and Child Development and Superintendent of CCI will finalise a date for repatriation and prepare all the documents.
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