

STATE AND UNION TERRITORIES COMPLIANCE WITH SUPREME COURT DIRECTIVES (as on November 2012)

Prakash Singh and Others Vs Union of India and Others

Instrument of Implementation	State Security Commission (SSC)	Selection and Minimum Tenure for DGP	Tenure for Officers on Operational Duty	Separation of Law and Order from Investigation	Police Establishment Board	Police Complaints Authority	Passed or Drafted New Act
ANDHRA PRADESH							
Government orders			Government order (dated 7 February 2007) provides that officers on operational duties to be given 2 years tenure.		Government order (dated 7 February 2007) sets up PEB. No mention of forum of appeal in mandate.		Not to date
ARUNACHAL PRADESH							
Government orders	SSC established in government notification (dated 27 February 2007). In relative conformity, no judge as member. Five independent members included to be selected by an independent selection panel. No mention of binding powers.	Complied through government notification (dated 18 December 2006). Two year tenure provided. State government to consult SSC in case of premature removal.	Complied through government notification (dated 18 December 2006).	Complied through government notification (dated 27 February 2007).		State and district-level PCAs set up through government notification (dated 18 December 2006). In conformity with the Court's directive. PCA recommendations are binding.	Not to date
ASSAM							
Assam Police Act, 2007	Absence of leader of opposition in the SSC. Of three independent members, two are retired officers and only one non-government member. No mention of binding powers.	DGP given only 1 year tenure. SSC (not UPSC) given empanelment role.	Only SHO and SP given fixed tenure, and only of 1 year. Some of the grounds for premature removal too broad.	Legislation does not separately address the issue of separation of law and order and investigation wings.	PEB established; mandate does not include appeal forum for officers of SP and above rank.	PCAs established at both the state and district levels, in conformity.	Yes
BIHAR							
Bihar Police Act, 2007	State Police Board (SPB) set up. Absence of CM, Leader of Opposition, retired judge, or independent members. No mention of binding powers.	DGP is directly appointed by state government - no independent shortlisting, no selection criteria. Two year tenure provided, but very broad grounds for premature removal procedure included.	SHO and SP given two year tenure, no mention of IGP or DIG. Grounds for premature removal are too broad, as include that SHO and SP can be removed for "administrative reasons".	Partial separation only; special investigative wing to be set up <i>within</i> the Crime Investigation Department.	PEB not set up. Transfers and postings governed by government formulated rules only.	Set up only at the district level. Made up entirely of serving police and government officers. All provisions on the Authorities are in violation of the Court's directive.	Yes
CHANDIGARH							
The Punjab Police Act was passed in 2007 by the Punjab State Assembly. In 2010, the Ministry of Home Affairs issued a notification extending the Punjab Police Act to Chandigarh. Most of the provisions here are from the MHA notification, except for provisions on the Police Complaints Authority which come from a separate notification.	SSC to be established by the central government for the union territory of Chandigarh. No other details provided in MHA notification.	DGP to be appointed by central government from officers of other union territories cadre. No empanelment by UPSC, no selection criteria. DGP given 2 years tenure, subject to superannuation. Grounds for premature removal includes "for special reasons", too broad and discretionary.	All field level officers given two year tenure. Grounds for premature removal includes "for special reasons", too broad and discretionary.	Separation of law and order from investigation is left to the discretion of the Administrator.	UT Administrator to establish a Police Establishment Committee (PEC), to decide transfers of officers ranked DySP and below. Mandate does not go beyond this, and thereby does not comply with the Court's directive.	A 2010 notification issued by the Home Department, Chandigarh Administration establishes the PCA. One independent member included, no selection process or criteria. Recommendations are not binding as Administration can disagree.	Through extension of Punjab Police Act, 2007
CHATTISGARH							
Chattisgarh Police Act, 2007	Leader of the Opposition is absent. A member of the state human rights commission has been added. Only two independent members. No independent selection panel. State government has sole powers to remove independent members. No mention of binding powers.	Appointment process diverges from Court's directive - empanelment to be done by a Committee under the All-India Services Act 1951. Two year tenure provided, sufficient grounds for premature removal included.	Only SP and SHO are given a minimum tenure of 2 years, not extended to IGP and DIG.	Separation of the two wings has not been enshrined. Only provision is that state government may create special crime investigation units.	PEB given jurisdiction only up to level of Inspector on transfers and postings. Also can receive complaints from police officers aggrieved by illegal or irregular orders. State government can review PEB orders within 90 days of the date of order.	Set up only at state level. Composition dominated by retired government servants, independent selection process for Chair and members not adopted. No binding powers.	Yes
DAMAN & DIU AND DADRA & NAGAR HAVELI							

STATE AND UNION TERRITORIES COMPLIANCE WITH SUPPREME COURT DIRECTIVES (as on November 2012)

Government orders	The latest memo issued by the Ministry of Home Affairs on SSCs (dated January 2011) sets up SSCs for each UT. Five independent members included, to be chosen from a shortlist prepared by a "search committee", but no details provided on the committee.					A 2011 notification issued by the Home Department, Daman & Diu and Dadra Nagar Haveli establishes a PCA for both UTs. A single-member Authority set up, no selection process or criteria provided. Recommendations are not binding as Administration can disagree.	A Model Police Act was drafted in 2006 by a specially constituted committee to replace the central police legislation, but it is out of circulation.
DELHI							
Government orders	The latest memo issued by the Ministry of Home Affairs on SSCs (dated January 2011) sets up SSCs for each UT. SSC for Delhi specifically stipulated. No judge, dominated by the political executive. Five independent members included, to be chosen from a shortlist prepared by a "search committee", but no details provided on the committee.					PCA established in a Delhi government resolution (dated 27 February 2012) - until a new Delhi Police Act is passed, the Delhi Public Grievances Commission is to function as the PCA. One person has been deputed to deal with the PCA complaints within the PGC.	A Bill was drafted by the Ministry of Home Affairs in 2010 for Delhi, but it has not moved since being drafted. In 2011, internal consultations with the police were held on a new police law, resulting in another draft. Nothing has been tabled to date.
GOA							
Government orders	Set up by government order notified in 2007. Limited composition, and no independent members. No mention of binding powers.					PEB set up by GO, in full compliance with the directive.	

STATE AND UNION TERRITORIES COMPLIANCE WITH SUPREME COURT DIRECTIVES (as on November 2012)

Goa Police Bill, 2008	State Police Commission established, no judge as member. Three independent members included, selection panel provided but dominated by political executive. No mention of binding powers.	Not in conformity - direct appointment by state government, no empanelment, no selection criteria. Two year tenure provided, no mention of premature removal.	Two year tenure provided for field level officers, but grounds for premature removal include "administrative exigency" which is too broad.	State government can create specialised crime investigation units at the police station level, but not mandatory, only at government's discretion.	PEB set up, in conformity. Recruitment of constables added to its mandate. No mention of whether PEB recommendations to be ordinarily accepted.	Role of PCA assigned to the state Lokayukta, which defeats the purpose of the Court's directive.	A Bill was drafted by the government in 2008, tabled in the state Assembly, and referred to a Select Committee. The Committee has submitted its report, which has not been made public. The Bill has not moved since.
GUJARAT							
Bombay Police (Gujarat Amendment) Act, 2007	Leader of the Opposition is absent. Only two independent members. No independent selection panel. State government has sole powers to remove independent members. No mention of binding powers.	Appointment process diverges from Court's directive - empanelment to be done by a Committee under the All-India Services Act 1951. No selection criteria provided. Two year tenure is provided.	Two year tenure provided for field level officers	Not compliant - separation of law and order from investigation remains optional.	PEB set up, but in violation of the directive. Deputy Secretary, Home Department included as a member, when it is meant to be an internal police body. Mandate diluted -PEB cannot make recommendations on postings and transfers for SP and above, and does not function as a forum of appeal for officers SP and above.	State and district level PCAs have been set up, but not in compliance with directive. Not enough members on PCA, drawn from serving police and government officers, only one independent member at state-level. No selection panel or process. Huge restriction of PCA jurisdiction. No mention of binding powers.	Yes
HARYANA							
Haryana Police Act, 2007	State Police Board (SPB) established. 3 "non-political" members included, but of these, two are to be retired police and government officers. No selection panel. Mandate diluted. No mention of binding powers.	In total violation of directive - direct appointment by state government, no empanelment, selection based on seniority with no selection criteria. Tenure of only one year provided.	In total violation of directive -only one year tenure provided only to IGP and SP, no mention of DIG or SHO.	Creation of specialised crime investigation units in every district	Not compliant - constitution of PEB remains optional, mandate and composition of PEB not in compliance with Court's directive.	Not compliant - one-member PCA set up at state level, district-level PCAs made optional for government. Unclear provision on whether recommendations are binding or not.	Yes
HIMACHAL PRADESH							
Himachal Pradesh Police Act, 2007	State Police Board (SPB) established. Composition expanded from all options given in the Court's directive. 3 "non-official" members included. Another divergence - Act creates an independent selection panel for the appointment of the non-official members, but state government provides short-listed candidates. Board's functions adhere to the Court's design, but recommendations are not binding.	In total violation of directive - to be selected by state government from a shortlist prepared by a three-member "Screening Committee", headed by the Chief Secretary. Selection criteria included. Tenure "until superannuation" provided, but can be removed before tenure for "administrative exigencies" among other grounds.	Minimum tenure of two years, or maximum of three years, provided to SHO and SP, but no mention of IGP and DIG. Removal grounds are too wide as they include "administrative exigencies".	Criminal Investigation units to be set up in every police station, for investigation of serious offences. Some innovations also included, one example is creation of "scene-of-crime" officers. Within 3 years of Act coming into force, state government is to create a separate cadre of investigation officers.	Police Establishment Committee set up, mandate and structure in compliance. No mention of whether recommendations are binding.	In total violation of directive - Act gives State Lokayukta powers and function of State PCA. In effect, no dedicated state-level PCA. District-level PCAs are to be set up, but composition is dominated by serving and retired police and government officers. No diversity in composition. Functions and powers of district PCAs also not in accordance with directive. Fine imposed for "false, vexatious, or malafide" complaints, also not in compliance with directive.	Yes
JAMMU AND KASHMIR							

STATE AND UNION TERRITORIES COMPLIANCE WITH SUPREME COURT DIRECTIVES (as on November 2012)

Government orders			Several government orders in place for rules on transfers of all government servants, not police officers specifically.			PEB constituted by government order (dated 6 February 2007). No jurisdiction over officers of rank SP and above. Recommendations are not binding.	Reportedly there is a 2011 draft of a Police Bill, but it is not in the public domain.
JHARKHAND							
Government orders	Government order (dated 31 December 2006) sets up SSC. Composition not in conformity with any model, nature of powers not defined.		Government order (dated 27 February 2007) states officers on operational duties shall <i>generally</i> have 2 year tenure. Premature removal grounds are too broad.	Government order (dated 31 December 2006) states that separation of law and order from investigation has taken place in 4 cities (Ranchi, Jamshedhpur, Bokaro and Dhanbadand).	Government order (dated 19 February 2007) sets up PEB. Mandate is limited, no mention of forum of appeal.	Government order (dated 3 April 2007) calls for state and district level PCAs to be set up. No mention of binding powers.	Not to date
KARNATAKA							
Karnataka Police (Amendment) Act, 2012	SSC established, no independent members included. Recommendations are binding.	Not in conformity - no empanelment by UPSC, direct appointment by state government, no selection criteria. Two year tenure provided, subject to superannuation.	Officers on operational duties have two year tenure; stipulates that premature transfer to be done in consultation with Police Establishment Board.	Complied, separation of the two wings to be done in every police station. Personnel deployed to each unit not to be diverted for any other purpose.	PEB established, in conformity with Court's directive.	State and district-level PCAs set up. Only one civil society member, with a complicated selection process. Serving police officer to serve as member Secretary at both state and district levels. No mention of binding powers.	Yes
KERALA							
Kerala Police Act, 2011	SSC set up, some variations from directive. 3 non-official members included to be chosen by state Governor, no independent selection panel or process. Detailed provision on SSC's evaluation of police performance, but drafting of annual policing plan not included.	Not compliant with directive - to be appointed by state government, no empanelment, no objective criteria. Two year tenure provided, subject to "superannuation, promotion, reversion, suspension, leave, etc". Sufficient grounds for premature removal.	Two year tenure provided to all field level officers, subject to "superannuation, promotion, reversion, suspension, leave, etc". Sufficient grounds for premature removal.	Separation of two wings at government's discretion, based on "population" and "prevailing circumstances" of any given area. Not made mandatory.	PEB "may" be established by the government, mandate diluted and different from Court's directive. No jurisdiction to make recommendations on SP and above, or to act as a forum of appeal. PEB recommendations are not binding.	PCAs established at both state and district levels. Composition includes serving police and government officers. Only "independent" members are retired police and retired district judge. Serving officers are the only members on district Authorities. Recommendations are binding.	Yes
MADHYA PRADESH							
Government orders	SSC constituted by government order (dated 15 December 2011) after matter brought before the state High Court. No judge as member. Five independent members included.	Laid down in government order (dated 14 February 2007). No empanelment by UPSC, directly appointed by state government. Provided 2 year tenure, but premature removal grounds too vague.	Laid down in government order (dated 14 February 2007). Provided 2 year tenure, but premature removal grounds too vague.		PEB established in government order (dated 14 February 2007), limited mandate, state government can interfere in PEB decisions.		Reportedly there is a draft of a Police Bill from 2010, but it is not in the public domain.
MAHARASHTRA							
Government orders	State Security Commission (SSC) created through government resolution (dated 25 July 2008). No judge as member. Two independent members included, but no selection process or panel.	Government Resolution provides DGP with a three years tenure, but subject to superannuation. Premature removal grounds not in line with Court directive.	Government Resolution - gives officers on operational duties three years tenure. Premature removal grounds do not comply.	Government Resolution states that due to Commissionerate system, law and order already separate from investigation wing.	PEB constituted in government resolution (dated 25 July 2008). Mandate in conformity. State government can "modify any of the decisions of the Board" after recording reasons.	State and district Police Complaints Authorities (PCAs) set up in government resolution (dated 25 July 2008). Dominated by serving police and government officers. No mandate to look into serious misconduct. No binding powers.	Not to date
MANIPUR							
Government orders	Government order (dated 31 March 2007) lays down a SSC dominated by the government. No independent members.	Government order (dated 28 December 2008) provides for direct appointment by state government, no empanelment, no selection criteria. Two year tenure provided, subject to superannuation. Premature removal grounds complied with.	Government order (dated 28 December 2008) states that minimum 2 year tenure should be given to officers on operational duties.		PEB established in government order (dated 28 December 2006), no binding powers, not mandated to function as a forum of appeal.		Not to date
MEGHALAYA							

STATE AND UNION TERRITORIES COMPLIANCE WITH SUPREME COURT DIRECTIVES (as on November 2012)

Meghalaya Police Act, 2010	SSC established, in partial compliance. No judge included. Two independent members included, to be chosen from a selection panel which is dominated by the political executive. Mandate expanded from Court's directive. The recommendations of the SSC shall be "to the extent feasible" binding on the government, in effect, recommendations are not binding.	Not entirely compliant - empanelment to be done by state SSC, and not UPSC. Selection criteria provided. Tenure of only one year provided, subject to superannuation. "Administrative contingency" included in grounds for premature removal.	Two year tenure provided for all field level officers. Police Establishment Board to give recommendations in all cases of premature removal or transfer. Grounds for premature removal included.	Specialised criminal investigation department to be constituted. No direct reference or provision on separation of law and order from investigation.	PEB constituted. While mandate written into Act, state government can "suo moto" make any transfer or posting to "meet any contingencies as prescribed". A review Committee also constituted to "review" the PEB's functioning.	State-level Police Accountability Commission set up, no district-level bodies. Retired judge has not been included as chairperson. Selection panel established, but dominated by political executive. Powers and functions are extensive, and provide a good legislative model. The directions issued by the Commission are binding.	Yes
MIZORAM							
Government orders	Government order (dated 10 November 2006) constitutes SSC in partial compliance -no leader of opposition, or judges as members. No mention of Commission's powers.	Government order (dated 10 November 2006) states to "institutionalise merit-based selection of DGP", but does not state any process or criteria. Two year tenure provided.	2006 government order gives officers on operational duties two year tenure, appropriate grounds for premature removal included.		2006 government order establishes PEB, in full compliance.		Not to date
NAGALAND							
Government orders	Order (dated 30 th March 2007 was silent about the nature of powers of SSC and there is no mandate to evaluate the performance of police.	Order dated 30 th March 2007- UPSC involved in empanelment of DGP. DGP given 2 years tenure irrespective of superannuation.	Order dated 30 th March 2007- prescribed minimum tenure of 2 years and the grounds for removal is properly followed.	Order dated 30 th March 2007- investigation wing to be separated from law and order wing.	Order dated June 1998 stated that the PEB is set up but mandate is limited and does not function as a forum for appeal. Subsequent order dated 17 th January 2007 also stated that PEB be headed by ADGP and submits its proposal to DGP. Not mandated to function as a forum of appeal.	Order dated 30 th March 2007 stated that the State PCA and 11 district PCAs have been constituted. Decisions of the PCA are not binding. Nature of powers of PCA not properly defined.	Not to date
ORISSA							
Government orders		Order dated 6 th April 2007 stated that DGP is to be appointed by the government. Tenure is provided as far as possible. No involvement of UPSC. Tenure subject to retirement, posting on central deputation or relieved for personal reasons.	Order dated 6 th April 2007 brought about the rule that the tenure of police officers on operational duties shall normally be two years. Removal grounds are too wide.	Order dated 6 th April 2007- no mention of separation in other cities except Bhubaneswar and Cuttack. Working profile of the two units are properly enumerated.	Order dated 6 th April 2007- mandate is very limited and PEB does not have the power to function as a forum for appeal.	Order dated 6 th April 2007 stated that PCA be formed at state level under the Lokpal and Lokayuktas Act, 1955. Memo silent on the composition and power of PCA. The Lokpal will be the head of PCA.	Not to date
PUDUCHERRY							
Government orders	The latest memo issued by the Ministry of Home Affairs on SSCs (dated January 2011) sets up SSCs for each UT. Five independent members included, to be chosen from a shortlist prepared by a "search committee", but no details provided on the committee.					PCA was set up by govt order dated 19 th January 2011. The chairperson is a judge of the high court. Authority has suo motu power of inquiry. The directions of the Authority shall ordinarily be binding unless reasons recorded in writing.	A Model Police Act was drafted in 2006 by a specially constituted committee to replace the central police legislation, but it is out of circulation.
PUNJAB							
Punjab Police Act, 2007	State Police Board constituted. Composition not in conformity with Court's directive - no independent members, no judge, and no leader of opposition. No selection process or criteria. Board to be consulted by state government to draft policing plans.	Not compliant - DGP directly appointed by state government, no empanelment, no selection criteria listed. Two year tenure provided, subject to superannuation. Grounds for premature removal too broad, includes "for special reasons".	Officers on operational duties given minimum tenure of one year, extendable to a maximum of three years.	Separation of law and order from investigation is left optional, depending on the needs of a particular area. District-level specialised crime investigation units to be set up, with dedicated qualified staff.	PEB set up - can decide transfers and postings only for officers of DySP rank, and only recommend postings of DySP and below. No mention of PEB acting as forum of appeal.	State government may create state and district-level Authorities.	Yes

STATE AND UNION TERRITORIES COMPLIANCE WITH SUPREME COURT DIRECTIVES (as on November 2012)

STATE AND UNION TERRITORIES COMPLIANCE WITH SUPREME COURT DIRECTIVES (as on November 2012)							
RAJASTHAN							
Rajasthan Police Act, 2007	State Police Commission set up, in partial compliance. Three independent members included, selection panel which includes state human rights commission Chairperson, to shortlist candidates. No mention of binding powers.	Appointment process diverges from Court's directive - empanment to be done by a Committee under the All-India Services Act 1951. No selection criteria provided. Two year tenure is provided. Grounds for premature removal too broad, include "administrative exigency".	Field level officers have been given two year tenure. Grounds for premature removal too broad in all cases, include "administrative exigency".	Specialised crime investigation units can be created at the police station level on the state government's discretion.	PEB established, with changes in mandate from Court's directive. Recruitment of constables is added to PEB mandate. Can propose transfers of ASP rank officers only, not for any higher ranks. No mention of whether state government has to ordinarily accept PEB recommendations.	State and district level Authorities established, diverge from Court's directive. No judge as Chair, independent member to be Chair. Dominated by independent members, but they are directly appointed by state government. No selection process or panel. One serving police officer included as member Secretary at both state and district levels. No mention of binding powers.	Yes
SIKKIM							
Sikkim Police Act, 2008	State Police Board established. Three independent members included, to be chosen on the recommendation of an independent selection panel. Board to also act as state vigilance commission until a commission is constituted. Mandate and functions in compliance, but no mention of binding powers.	In total violation of directive - to be selected by state government from a shortlist prepared by a three-member "Screening Committee", headed by the Chief Secretary. Selection criteria included. Tenure "until superannuation" provided, but can be removed before tenure for "administrative exigencies" among other grounds.	Not compliant - Two year tenure provided only for SP and SHO. Removal grounds too broad, include "administrative exigencies".	Separation of the two wings to occur depending on the level of crime, or size of area. Special Crime Investigation Units to be created in such areas deemed necessary.	PEB established. Mandate includes postings and transfers of officers below DySP rank, and can recommend on transfers of DySP and above. Forum of appeal only on transfer orders, not on any illegal or malafide orders as directed by the Court.	Only state level PCA created, no district level bodies. Only one civil society member, to be selected by same selection panel for State Police Board. Broader mandate and extensive powers, but no mention of binding powers.	Yes
TAMIL NADU							
Government orders				Government order (dated April 2007) separates law and order from investigation	Government order dated (26 April 2007) sets up PEB. Largely compliant, except PEB does not function as a forum of appeal.		
Tamil Nadu Police Bill, 2008	State Police Board established. Composition does not follow any given model, Chairs of all state oversight bodies included. No independent members or selection panel. Mandate slightly watered down, no mention of binding powers.	No empanelment, state government appoints directly. Selection criteria provided. Two year tenure laid down, but subject to superannuation. Grounds for premature removal too broad; include "administrative" grounds.	Not compliant - no mention of tenure for officers on operational duties.	Specialised criminal investigation department to be created. Separation of the two wings to be done in appropriate areas, with regard to population and circumstances.	PEB set up, not compliant. Chaired by Additional DGP, instead of DGP, and does not specify number of members. Mandate slightly diluted, can only recommend on transfers for junior ranks.	Violation of directive - no PCA established.	A Bill was drafted and tabled in 2008, but it has not moved since.
TRIPURA							
Tripura Police Act, 2007	State Police Board created. Composition diluted - no leader of the opposition and only two independent members. No independent selection process or panel, independent members to be appointed directly by state government. No mention of binding powers.	Not compliant - DGP directly appointed by state government, no empanelment. Selection criteria is included. Two year tenure provided, subject to superannuation. Sufficient grounds for premature removal included.	Tenure of two years extendable to a maximum of three years fixed only for SHO, officer in charge of a sub-division, and SP. Sufficient grounds for premature removal included.	Separation of the two wings to occur depending on the level of crime, or size of area. Special Crime Investigation Units to be created in such areas deemed necessary.	PEB established, can recommend postings to state government for DySP and above rank officers, but no jurisdiction over junior ranks. Can also examine complaints of illegal orders.	Only state-level PCA set up, no district level bodies. Chairperson and members directly appointed by the state government, no selection process or panel. PCA mandate is compliant, and powers extensive. No mention of binding powers.	Yes
UTTAR PRADESH							

STATE AND UNION TERRITORIES COMPLIANCE WITH SUPREME COURT DIRECTIVES (as on November 2012)

Government orders	State Security Commission (SSC) set up via Govt Memo. Composition does not follow the Court's directive. Chairman of the State Advisory Council and Principal Secretary (Home) are members. No Leader of Opposition and judge. Two independent members appointed directly by the Govt. Mandate is weak and no binding powers.	Government order (dated 12 March 2008), total violation - DGP appointed by a government dominated selection committee. No selection criteria laid out. DGP to "ordinarily" have 2 years tenure subject to superannuation. Premature removal to be done in consultation with SSC.			Government order (dated 12 March 2008) sets up PEB with mandate for transfers of DySP and below only		Not to date
UTTARAKHAND							
Uttarakhand Police Act, 2007	State Police Board constituted, two independent members included. Serving police officer to be member secretary. Selection panel established, but government dominated, and also given the power to recommend the removal of an independent member. Drafting of policing plans absent from mandate, and no mention of binding powers.	Not compliant - empanelment to be done by "a committee" constituted by the Government, with no further details on the members of the committee. No selection criteria provided. Two year tenure laid down, subject to superannuation.	Not compliant - SHO given only one tenure period, while SP, and zonal DIG and IG have a minimum tenure of two years, subject to superannuation. Grounds for premature removal include "in the public interest", which is too broad.	Not complied with, Act contains no provision on separation of law and order from investigation.	PEB established, made up of only two members with Chair, rather than four members as directed by the Court. PEB orders and recommendations are not to be ordinarily accepted as directed by the Court - state government can "alter or amend" PEB decisions.	Only state-level PCA set up, no district level bodies. Four independent members included, but no selection panel or process so to be directly appointed by the state government. Mandate and powers are extensive. No mention of binding powers.	Yes
WEST BENGAL							
Government orders	SSC established through government notification (dated 2 June 2010). Composition not in accordance with directive - Minister of Health and Family Welfare is Chair, insufficient non-government members. No selection panel or process. Mandate is diluted, but recommendations are binding.	Government memo provides two year tenure, but selection process not compliant. DGP directly appointed by state government, no empanelment.	Two year tenure provided in government memo, but grounds for premature removal too wide.		PEB established in government memo (dated 30 March 2007). Mandate diluted.	Government notification (dated 2 June 2010) sets up state level Police Complaints Authority (PCA), no district-level bodies. Composition is not compliant - includes both serving police and government officers. Recommendations are "ordinarily binding" though state government can disagree.	There was a draft of a Police Bill in 2007, which was not tabled in the state Assembly.